

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1456 Alexandra, Vacana 22M3-1456 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/650,456	08/29/2000	Richard W. Dennis H	1519.018	8503
75	90 05/07/2003			
Peter C Lando Wolf Greenfield & Sacks P C Federal Reserve Plaza			FXAMINER	
			DRODGE, JOSEPH W	
600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2211			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	み
		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/650,345

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

DENNIS

JOSEPH DRODGE

Art Unit 1723



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2b) X This action is non-final. 2a). This action is FINAL. 3) ... Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-15 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) X Claim(s) 3-15 6) X Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) 🗶 Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claims **Application Papers** The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ... The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4,5,6 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/650,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maitland patent 5,985,155 of record, in view of Allen et al patent 5,422,014 also of record and Schroeder et al patent 6,535,795.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,456 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Maitland discloses time-based proportional control of feeding of halogen sterilization chemical to swimming pools based upon signals from sensors measuring pH, water temperature and flow rate (column 3, lines 29-36 and 43-53 and column 3, line 64-column 4, line 20).

The claims differ in requiring calculating of a set point offset value used in determining a measured signal value and in selecting an offset sensitivity value. Allen et al teach setpoint offset value calculations (column 10, line 48 through column 11, line 16, etc.) also for a system of feeding sterilizing chemical to swimming pools. Schroeder et al also teach calculations of set points for water treatment systems generally (column 13, lines 21-40) and suggest selection of offset sensitivity value by the terminology "the models continuously modify the offset to correspond to changing loads...", see column 13, lines 34-35.

Hence, At the time the present invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to have modified the Maitland process, by also calculating set point offset values and selecting offset sensitivity values, as suggested by Allen et al and Schroeder et al, in order to compensate for changing flow volumes being treated and "real-world" conditions (i.e. bather load, etc.).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/650,456 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

Claim 2 distinguishes in view of recitation of the "setpoint offset value" being calculated by a factor including the proportion of sustained deviation from set point divided by proportional band width. The prior art., in particular is silent as to importance of band widths.

5. Claims 3-15 are allowed.

Claims 3-15 are similarly distinguished in view of setpoint offset value being calculated by a factor including the proportion of sustained deviation from setpoint by proportional band width.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph W. Drodge whose telephone number is (703) 308-0403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from approximately 8:30 AM - 4:45 PM.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 or (703) 872-9311 for after final submissions. When filing a FAX in Tech Center 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communication with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Joseph W. Drogge Primary Examiner

JWD

May 5, 2003